From:

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2005 1:40 PM

To: CAD MAILBOX

Subject: Request for Assistance with Property and Casualty Insurance

Release for pdr: Yes

Name: Cindra Lynn Thompson - Midwite

Address: 2116 242nd ST SW Bothell, WA 98021

hone Number: 360-668-0478

E-mail:

Claim Number: na 'olicy Number: na)ate of Loss: na

ype of Policy: Commercial

nsurance Company: Physician's Insurance-Joint Underwriting Association For Midwifery and Birthing enters Malpractice Insurance * The JUA was previously and is now currently under Washington Casualty

ddress of Any Agent Involved: Physician's Insurance 1730 Minor AVE Suite 1800 Seattle, WA 98101 /ashington Casualty Company 14100 SE 36th ST suite 100 Bellevue, WA 98006-1568

ause of problem: Refusal To Insure

ction that should be taken to resolve your complaint: I was told by Physician's Insurance and the JA that the Insurance Commissioner signed something giving the JUA permission to deny me ofessional liability insurance. It is in the law that the JUA is supposed to offer coverage to all Licensed idwives in Washington State. If it is in fact true that the Insurance commissioner signed such a form, I ish to appeal that decision as I feel it is not based on solid or accurate information.

brief description of the problem: I am a midwife practicing in Washington since 2000. I had coverage rough the JUA and had undergone a Professional Liability Review (plr) in April of 2003. After this review vas given a list of items recommended that I change or revise in my protocols and practice. Due to ancial restraints, I cancelled my policy shortly thereafter. I then in July of 2004, requested to reinstate y coverage, though the JUA was now being run by Physician's insurance instead of washington asualty. I was given the run around for several months, finally they requested that I send in my policy anual and practice documents. I did so after making all of the recommended changes from the previous view. On 10-21-04 I had a second review from Physician's insurance by a board member from the JUA. is review was very short and not any where near as thorough as the first. It lasted less than 1 hour and I t as though the reviewer had not read my updated paperwork. I was later informed that I was being nied insurance because I was too great a risk, with no explination of what they meant specifically. I quested in writing an why I was denied coverage. I received a letter that said the reason for the denial is I had failed to consult appropriately and that I had practiced out of my scope of practice. Nothing was ecific and I still have no idea what they are talking about. I have never had a compliant or a poor tcome. I feel that this is not fair or just and am trying to figure out my options for resolution.

w I heard about this office:

anature: Cindra Lynn Thompson, LM

te: 1-19-05

Patricia D. Petersen Chief Hearing Officer